

On the minimum rank of a graph

Jisu Jeong

June 21, 2013

- 1 Minimum rank
 - Definition, motivation, and properties
 - Main topics
- 2 The minimum rank of a random graph over the binary field
 - Known results
 - Our results
- 3 An algorithm to decide the minimum rank for fixed k
 - Known results
 - Our results
- 4 Future work

Motivation

Some properties

Some properties

- The minimum rank of G is at most 1 if and only if G can be expressed as the union of a clique and an independent set.
- A path G is the only graph of minimum rank $|V(G)| - 1$.
- If G' is an induced subgraph of G , then $\text{mr}(G') \leq \text{mr}(G)$.

Main topics

- The minimum rank of a random graph over the binary field. (joint work with Choongbum Lee, Po-Shen Loh, and Sang-il Oum)
- An algorithm to decide that the input graph has the minimum rank at most k over \mathbb{F}_q , for a fixed integer k . (joint work with Sang-il Oum)

Main topics

- The minimum rank of a random graph over the binary field. (joint work with Choongbum Lee, Po-Shen Loh, and Sang-il Oum)
- An algorithm to decide that the input graph has the minimum rank at most k over \mathbb{F}_q , for a fixed integer k . (joint work with Sang-il Oum)

Known results

The minimum rank of a random graph over a field.

	\mathbb{R}^\dagger	\mathbb{F}_2^\ddagger
$G(n, 1/2)$	$0.147n < mr < 0.5n$	$n - \sqrt{2n} \leq mr$
$G(n, p)$	$cn < mr < dn$	

\dagger Hall, Hogben, Martin, and Shader, 2010

\ddagger Friedland and Loewy, 2010

Our results

Let $p(n)$ be a function s.t. $0 < p(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $np(n)$ is increasing. We prove that the minimum rank of $G(n, 1/2)$ and $G(n, p(n))$ over the binary field is at least $n - o(n)$ a.a.s.

We have two different proofs.

Theorem

- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, 1/2)) \geq n - 1.415\sqrt{n}$ a.a.s.
- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, p(n))) \geq n - 1.178\sqrt{n/p(n)}$ a.a.s.

Theorem

- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, 1/2)) \geq n - \sqrt{2n} - 1.1$ a.a.s.
- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, p(n))) \geq n - 1.483\sqrt{n/p(n)}$ a.a.s.

Our results

Let $p(n)$ be a function s.t. $0 < p(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $np(n)$ is increasing. We prove that the minimum rank of $G(n, 1/2)$ and $G(n, p(n))$ over the binary field is at least $n - o(n)$ a.a.s.

We have two different proofs.

Theorem

- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, 1/2)) \geq n - 1.415\sqrt{n}$ a.a.s.
- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, p(n))) \geq n - 1.178\sqrt{n/p(n)}$ a.a.s.

Theorem

- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, 1/2)) \geq n - \sqrt{2n} - 1.1$ a.a.s.
- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, p(n))) \geq n - 1.483\sqrt{n/p(n)}$ a.a.s.

Main topics

- The minimum rank of a random graph over the binary field. (joint work with Choongbum Lee, Po-Shen Loh, and Sang-il Oum)
- An algorithm to decide that the input graph has the minimum rank at most k over \mathbb{F}_q , for a fixed integer k . (joint work with Sang-il Oum)

Known results

Theorem(Berman, Friedland, Hogben, Rothblum, and Shader, 08)

The computation of the minimum rank over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} is decidable.

Theorem(Ding and Kotlov, 06)

For every nonnegative integer k , the set of graphs of minimum rank at most k is characterized by finitely many forbidden induced subgraphs, each having at most $(\frac{q^k+2}{2})^2$ vertices.

Our results

Theorem

Let k be a fixed positive integer and \mathbb{F}_q be a fixed finite field. There exists an $O(|V(G)|^2)$ -time algorithm that decides whether the input graph G has the minimum rank over \mathbb{F}_q at most k .

Proofs

- Monadic second-order logic and Courcelle's thm
- Dynamic programming
- Kernelization

Our results

Theorem

Let k be a fixed positive integer and \mathbb{F}_q be a fixed finite field. There exists an $O(|V(G)|^2)$ -time algorithm that decides whether the input graph G has the minimum rank over \mathbb{F}_q at most k .

Proofs

- Monadic second-order logic ($\exists, \forall, \vee, \wedge, \in, \sim$)
 - $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G) \leq k$
 - $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_q, G) \leq k \rightarrow H$ is an induced subgraph of G
- Courcelle's thm
 - MS formula can be decided in linear time if the input graph is given with its p -expression.

Our results

Theorem

Let k be a fixed positive integer and \mathbb{F}_q be a fixed finite field. There exists an $O(|V(G)|^2)$ -time algorithm that decides whether the input graph G has the minimum rank over \mathbb{F}_q at most k .

Proofs

- Dynamic programming
 - The number of partial solutions are bounded if an input graph has the minimum rank at most k .
 - H is an induced subgraph of G .

Our results

Theorem

Let k be a fixed positive integer and \mathbb{F}_q be a fixed finite field. There exists an $O(|V(G)|^4)$ -time algorithm that decides whether the input graph G has the minimum rank over \mathbb{F}_q at most k .

Proofs

- Kernelization
 - If $|V(G)| > (\frac{q^k+2}{2})^2$, find a vertex v such that $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_q, G) \leq k \Leftrightarrow \text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_q, G \setminus v) \leq k$.

Future work

- It is still unknown whether the minimum rank can be computed in polynomial time.
- The lower bound for $G(n, p(n))$ has a possibility of being improved. (1.483)

Theorem

- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, 1/2)) \geq n - \sqrt{2n} - 1.1$ a.a.s.
- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, p(n))) \geq n - 1.483\sqrt{n/p(n)}$ a.a.s.

Future work

- A nontrivial **upper bound** of the expectation of the minimum rank of a random graph over the binary field is an open question.
- The minimum rank of a random graph over **the other fields** is unknown.

	\mathbb{R}	\mathbb{F}_2
$G(n, 1/2)$	$0.147n < \text{mr} < 0.5n$	$n - \sqrt{2n} \leq \text{mr}$
$G(n, p)$	$cn < \text{mr} < dn$	

Thank you.

Our results

Let $p(n)$ be a function s.t. $0 < p(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $np(n)$ is increasing. We prove that the minimum rank of $G(n, 1/2)$ and $G(n, p(n))$ over the binary field is at least $n - o(n)$ a.a.s.

We have two different proofs.

Theorem

- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, 1/2)) \geq n - 1.415\sqrt{n}$ a.a.s.
- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, p(n))) \geq n - 1.178\sqrt{n/p(n)}$ a.a.s.

Theorem

- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, 1/2)) \geq n - \sqrt{2n} - 1.1$ a.a.s. (Proof)
- $\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, p(n))) \geq n - 1.483\sqrt{n/p(n)}$ a.a.s.

Sketch of the proof

Theorem

Let \mathbb{F}_2 be the binary field and $G(n, \frac{1}{2})$ be a random graph. Then,

$$\text{mr} \left(\mathbb{F}_2, G(n, \frac{1}{2}) \right) \geq n - \sqrt{2n} - 1.1$$

asymptotically almost surely.

Sketch of the proof.

$G = G(n, 1/2)$

\mathcal{G}_n : a set of all graphs with a vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ $S_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$: a set of all $n \times n$ symmetric matrices over the binary field

There can be many different matrices representing the same graph. If one of them has rank less than r , then the minimum rank of this graph is less than r . Thus,

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, H) < r \\ H \in \mathcal{G}_2}} \mathbb{P}[G = H] \leq \sum_{\substack{\text{rank}(N) < r \\ N \in \mathcal{M}}} \mathbb{P}[G = G(N)].$$

Let M be an $n \times n$ random symmetric matrix s.t. every entry in the upper triangle and diagonal of M is 1 with $1/2$. For $N \in S_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[G = G(N)] = 2^n \mathbb{P}[M = N]$$

because the diagonal entries are decided with probability $1/2$ independently at random.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}[\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, G) < n - L_n] &= \sum_{\substack{\text{mr}(\mathbb{F}_2, H) < n - L_n \\ H \in \mathcal{G}}} \mathbb{P}[G = H] \\
 &\leq \sum_{\substack{\text{rank}(N) < n - L_n \\ N \in \mathcal{M}}} \mathbb{P}[G = G(N)] \\
 &= 2^n \sum_{\substack{\text{rank}(N) < n - L_n \\ N \in \mathcal{M}}} \mathbb{P}[M = N] \\
 &= 2^n \mathbb{P}[\text{rank}(M) < n - L_n] \\
 &= 2^n \mathbb{P}[\text{nullity}(M) > L_n].
 \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to show that $\mathbb{P}[\text{nullity}(M) > \sqrt{2n} + 1.1]$ is $o(1/2^n)$.
 So, we focus on $\mathbb{P}[\text{nullity}(M) = L_n]$.

Lemma

Let M_i be an $i \times i$ random symmetric matrix such that every entry in the upper triangle and diagonal of M_i is 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ independently at random. And let $P_{i,k}$ be the probability that M_i has nullity k . Then, $P_{1,0} = P_{1,1} = P_{2,0} = \frac{1}{2}$, $P_{2,1} = \frac{3}{8}$, $P_{2,2} = \frac{1}{8}$, $P_{i,-1} = 0$ for all i , $P_{i,k} = 0$ for all $i < k$, and

$$P_{i,k} = \frac{1}{2}P_{i-1,k} + \frac{1}{2^i}P_{i-1,k-1} + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i-1}}\right)P_{i-2,k}$$

for $i \geq 3$, $k \geq 0$.